

RCSA Open Meeting Minutes

Saturday 28th November 2009-6pm, JCR

1. Apologies for absence or lateness

An apology for lateness was received from Andy Aitken (AA)

2. Announcement of the presence and purpose of guests

There were no guests.

3. Approval of the presence and speaking rights of guests

There were no guests.

4. Approval of the order of the agenda

The order of the agenda was approved.

5. Reading by the secretary on request by any member, amendment if necessary and approval of the minutes of any previous Open Meeting not yet accepted by an Open Meeting.

The minutes of the previous open meeting were approved.

6. Matters Arising from the minutes

Lana Whittaker (LJW) read the following at the request of Daniel Tse:

I hereby respond in writing to issues arising from the RCMS budget. Please accept my apology for not attending the Budget Meeting, due to a musical engagement that Sunday afternoon.

As the outgoing president of the Music Society, I would express my gravest regret towards several comments made by Nia Jones at the meeting. Her remarks on 'a successful year' was an unfair, complacent view of RCMS's 2009-10 season; I would note that the more ambitious nature of last year's programme naturally demanded for a greater amount of resources supporting its related expenses.

The view on 'a more interesting programme this year, but not just Mozart' is also ill-judged if not a cause of incorrect information. I would urge the attendees of Sunday's Budget Meeting to revisit last year's programme: not a single concert carries a monotonous 'Mozart' theme. In fact, the repertoire of Mozart only appeared in Daniel Tse's Piano Recital on 6th February 2009 (B-flat, K. 570); other recitals made no references to the Classical composer at all.

Barnaby Mollett's comment on the £130 spare budgetary request was due to, should I speculate, the failure of claims from RCMS in October and November 2009. RCMS's budgetary year falls from October to September each year, while the Music Society's budgetary allowance on the RCSA falls

from November to October. This spare £130 has been intended to allow Nia Jones to claim expenses incurred during the 'bridging gap' time period.

Last but not least, I suggest that Nia Jones, who is still representing the Music Society, refrains from making arbitrary evaluations by using connotative words such as 'successful'. I would take confident pleasure in further attacking the weakness of her use of this word, either by comparing the turnout this year to that of 2008-09, or considering the nature of the programme.

I would urge Nia Jones to clarify her stances with regards to the above comments at the Budget Meeting, and consider the possibility of a formal apology arisen from her inaccurate comments.

Rob Beagrie (RB) said that Nia Jones had declined from making an apology.

7. Reports from the Committee

No

8. Questions to the Committee

There were no questions to the committee.

9. Co-option of remaining non-executive officers:

RB said that those up for co-option would need to do a speech for up to three minutes, after which a vote would occur. RB invited Letty Key (LK) to come and speak.

a) Women's Officer

LK said she had good ideas, which are on her manifesto. She wants to get a budget for tampons and would offer to accompany women to the clinic/hospital if necessary. She would also like to hold more social events. In the handover period she has tried to make sure that there weren't any problems and she went to women's council.

RB invited the meeting to vote on the motion supporting the co-option of LK as women's officer.

For: 29

Against: 0

Abstain: 1

LK was duly elected women's officer.

b) Ethnic Minorities Officer

Arun Niranjana (AN) went to an access committee meeting and wants to get more people from a diverse range of backgrounds into Robinson. He said that if people had any issues then they could talk to him.

RB invited the meeting to vote on the motion supporting the co-option of AN as ethnic minorities officer.

For: 30

Against: 0

Abstain: 0

AN was duly elected Ethnic Minorities Officer.

c) Overseas Officer

Harshil Raisinghani (HR) said that he was not from here, and will therefore understand. He said he is older and therefore more mature so can help those that come to Robinson next year. He said he would talk to the College about the issue of fees, taking over from Tanuj Doulat Bhojwani (TDB). He would continue to organise formals and swaps like TDB did.

Barnaby Mollett (BM) asked if he was going to organise formals like TDB did. HR said yes.

RB invited the meeting to vote on the motion supporting the co-option of HR as overseas officer.

For: 30

Against: 0

Abstain: 0

HR was duly elected Overseas Officer

d) LBGT Officer

Dan Green (DG) said that people could see in the addendum what he wanted to do. He is qualified and would be helpful outside of his constitutional role.

RB invited the meeting to vote on the motion supporting the co-option of DG as LBGT officer.

For: 30

Against: 0

Abstain: 0

DG was duly elected LBGT officer.

e) Newsletter Editor

Michael Boyle (MB) said that we would try not to have the college sued or to have more than one meeting with the Dean.

TDB asked how he will get people to submit more articles. MB said that he would hold a meeting in the first week of lent term, creating a writers committee. As a group they could come up with article ideas, which could then be assigned to people.

David Bewicke (DB) asked what percentage of *The Brick* would be fiction. MB said that it was a difficult line to draw. He said he is not good at percentages as he is an arts student, so he wasn't going to answer.

Mark Willis (MW) said that if he was going to do two copies a term then this would be six a year. He asked if he would actually do this and if it was realistic. MB said it depends on how the writers committee works out. It was pointed out that Duncan Stibbard Hawkes (DSH) produced one per term.

BM said that there were many ex-editors in college, each with their own writing style. He asked MB what best describes his writing style. MB said derivative.

RB invited the meeting to vote on the motion supporting the co-option of MB as Newsletter Editor.

For: 29
Against: 2
Abstain: 0

MB was duly elected Newsletter Editor.

10. Extraordinary Motions

There were no extraordinary motions.

11. Ordinary Motions

a) CUSU re-affiliation

RCSA Notes:

1. According to the constitution a list of organisations that the RCSA is affiliated with should be submitted yearly for approval. (Chapter VI: section 41).
2. The RCSA is currently affiliated to CUSU, for the cost of £2500 yearly and that the new JCR Affiliation fees are provisionally £2694.17
3. That CUSU's support, in terms of welfare, representation at university level and running of campaigns would be unsustainable at a college level.

RCSA Believes:

1. That its affiliation to CUSU is of benefit to the college.

RCSA Resolves:

1. To stay affiliated to CUSU.

Proposed: Duncan "Noel Edward" Stibbard Hawkes
Seconded: Lana "Jay" Whittaker

DSH said that every year most colleges re-affiliate to CUSU. CUSU provide training, fresher's packs and meetings. He said that the college does this every year.

DB asked if was boring to do it every year. DSH said that it might be.

DG asked what the money goes towards. DSH replied saying administration fees, and that he would email the CUSU co-ordinator if a breakdown was required. DG asked about administration. DSH explained that it provided things to college and enabled CUSU positions to exist. Rahul Mansigani (RM) offered a point of information, stating that a large proportion of these fees went towards funding the two full time case workers at CUSU.

RB invited the meeting to vote on motion a).

For: 43
Against: 0
Abstain: 1

Motion a) duly passed

b) Renewable Policy

Notes:

1. That all policies on the Renewable Policy List lapse after 3 years.
2. That a number of these policies are due to lapse during the forthcoming year
3. The constitutional requirement for such policies to be proposed for renewal at the first Open Meeting following the election of a new RCSA committee.

RCSA Believes:

1. That there are a number of such policies which we would not want to lapse.
2. That these policies continue to support the general aims and objects of the RCSA as set out by the constitution.

RCSA Resolves:

1. To renew the policy contained in the list in the addendum.
2. To expressly revoke any policy passed before 1st January 2007 and not contained within the renewable policy list.

Proposed: Lana "Too bored and tired to think of something to put here" Whittaker

Seconded: Duncan "Too 'just about to have dinner' to think of something to put here" Stibbard Hawkes

DSH said that every year policies which were going to be older than three years had to be renewed. This year they are: that the 3rd year hall should be the responsibility of the catering and amenities office; that the budget should be in descending order and that the catering and amenities officer should be in charge of the trunk room.

AA proposed an amendment to the third policy, suggesting it should be dealt with equally by the catering and amenities officer and the overseas officer. He spoke in favour of this, saying that the trunk room was used by a lot of international students and that the catering and amenities officer has a lot to do. This would even the work load.

DB spoke against the motion, saying that he didn't think an amendment could just be made through this as the motion just says to renew. DSH says that this makes it easier. DB reiterated that the motion doesn't say anything about change. RB suggested that if we couldn't do this, then we would never be able to do anything. He suggested an indicative vote for a separate motion. MW asked if this would be raised at the meeting. RB said no, at the next meeting. AA said that it would be the same procedure as last year and he would rather pass it now. RB asked what HR thought. HR had left.

RB ruled that the amendment was not against the spirit of the original motion and accepted the amendment.

RB invited the meeting to vote on motion b).

For: 39

Against: 1

Abstain: 2

Motion b) duly passed

c) **Presents for outgoing chair/ president**

THIS MOTION REQUIRES A TWO THIRDS ASSENT TO PASS

RCSA Notes:

1. That it is customary to purchase a present for the outgoing President of the RCSA and that a combined £80 was spent last year on presents to the President and chair.
2. That doing so could be described as a 'Nice idea'
3. That the committee have already come up with some jolly good present ideas which they cannot reveal at an open meeting as it would, like, *totally* ruin the surprise!
4. That the RCSA Committee think this is a jolly good idea!

RCSA Believes:

1. That an allocation of £60 from the RCSA Budget for the president and £20 pounds for the chair would enable the committee to purchase some rather exciting gifts
2. That these gifts should be purchased to thank the ex-president and ex-chair for their hard work.

RCSA Resolves:

1. To allocated monies from this open meeting to the sum of £80 towards purchasing presents for the ex-president and ex-chair.
2. To buy presents up to the value of £60 for the Rahul and £20 for John Crook.

Proposed: Duncan "The Present-ident" Stibbard Hawkes

Seconded: Lana "The Present Secretary" Whittaker

DSH said that it was customary to buy the outgoing chair and president presents. He said that the president and the chair had done a fantastic job and fully deserved presents. He suggested £60 should be allocated for the purchase of a present for the president £20 for the chair.

MW notes that there were a lot of motions about money, and asked if there was a limit to this. RB said yes, £125. He said that if all motions were passed then we would be over the budget, and will have to go back through them and remove money. DG asked if it could not be budgeted in or taken from reserves. Niall Brown (NB) said it could be budgeted in future, but not from the reserves. BM agreed. BM asked if the proposed prices were the definite prices of the presents. DSH said that they were close.

RB invited the meeting to vote on motion c).

For: 40

Against: 0

Abstain: 2

Motion c) duly passed

d) Cash Machine

RCSA Notes:

1. There is currently no cash machine in Robinson.
2. The nearest cash machine is a long and very, very cold walk into town away
3. That Simon Murden has been heard to say that “there’s no fucking way” a cash-back system in the bar is plausible, because nobody ever pays for anything with cash
4. That the bar is often open.
5. That Simon Murden and The Domestic Bursar are provisionally in favour of implementing a cash machine.
6. Simon has said in the past he would be prepared to help manage the machine

RCSA Believes:

1. That, sadly, it would be very difficult to get a bank to provide us with a free of charge cash machine.
2. That a small-fee cash machine would, however, have the support of the student body.
3. That there’s absolutely no harm in asking.

RCSA Resolves:

1. That the Catering and Amenities officer or the RCSA President be mandated to ask all relevant parties, including Nick Milne.
2. To do what has to be done to start the ball rolling.

Proposed: Duncan “Went to a cash machine” Stibbard Hawkes

Secoded: Andy “Money-money-money” Aitken

DSH said that he and AA think that this would be a good idea as people would not have to go into town to get money. He said that it would be difficult to convince banks to provide a free machine. He said that some machines have a charge of about £1.50 which we could try and bring in. He said that the motion was to try and gage support for the idea.

MW asked if there have been suggestions that this would be worthwhile. AA said that a lot of people had asked him during the election campaign. John Shirley (JS) said that he would not pay £1.50 to get his own money out. He asked if enough people would use it. DSH replied that if they didn’t then the machine would disappear. He suggested that after a drink he might forget about the charge. MB asked if this was a machine and would it be available 24 hours. DSH said that it would be available when the bar and cafe were open. He said it was an oblong device with a triangular top. MB asked if it would make college more vulnerable. DSH no as the bar would be locked up. Pete Hallen (PH) asked about insurance. DSH said he would ask the domestic bursar.

AA reiterated that the motion was trying to get support from the student body. BM said that this had been discussed before and refused as there is a card system. He suggested that when proposed to the bursar, it should be emphasised that there are other things which people need money for. DSH said that the domestic bursar was provisionally in favour. Tom O’Hanlon (TO) asked if the charge would cover the rental. DSH said that he thought so.

RB invited the meeting to vote on motion d).

For: 38

Against: 0

Abstain: 5

Motion d) duly passed

e) Gym

RCSA Notes:

1. That there is currently an underground weights room in College but that this room has no room for anaerobic gym machines
2. That being fit is important to a healthy lifestyle and the welfare of students
3. That having a small College Gym in the "Games Room" would allow students to exercise safely in college
4. That having a small college gym would stop many people from needing to join an expensive gym elsewhere in Cambridge
5. College's objections to the proposed gym are the reduction in the number of breakout rooms during conferences, the possibility of students hurting themselves if untrained, the fact that other groups use the Games room during term time and concerns about noise pollution
6. The purchase of gym equipment would contribute to the long term welfare and benefit of the members of the RCSA
7. This motion is NOT asking for any money, merely confirming the support of the RCSA members to install a College Gym
8. That College would like us to be properly trained to use any equipment such as gym machines

RCSA Believes:

1. That fitness equipment should be available to be used by all members of the RCSA
2. That quite a lot of people don't like doing weights
3. That quite a lot of people do like treadmills, cross trainers and rowing machines
4. That there is room in the Games room to locate three (or four) folding machines which could be moved to the side when the room was in use by other groups
5. That during conference season the equipment could be moved to another location (the party room, or a bedroom in Adams Road) if necessary
6. The level of noise created by anaerobic exercise is minimal and far less than the noise created by Korean Drumming

RCSA Resolves:

2. To mandate the relevant members of the RCSA to liaise with College Authorities to establish whether or not it would be feasible to introduce a gym to the Games Room
3. To mandate the Catering and Amenities officer to find out how much space there is in the party room for storage, and to find out how portable the equipment would likely be
4. To report back to the next open meeting with a plan of action and budget if permission is granted
5. To agree with the Conference Department whether having a gym onsite would be beneficial and whether they would require it moving at the end of each term
6. To find a person suitably qualified to carry out a gym induction in college

Proposed: Duncan "Dumbbell" Stibbard Hawkes

Seconded: Andy "Aerobic" Aitken

AA said that there was not a gym at the moment and that the idea came up during election campaigns. He said that there was a need to spend reserves. It will benefit the welfare and health of students. He suggested that it could be in the Games Room, but that the domestic bursar had not definitely said yes. He said that the motion was not asking for money, just indicating support from the student body. Next term there would be a plan with costs which would go through another open meeting.

Chris Powell (CP) spoke against the motion, saying that the table tennis, Kung Fu and Karate societies use the room and need all of the room. He described how for table tennis all of the playing space goes to the pillar and that space is needed for those who want to watch. He plays exuberantly. Sometimes his play is 'over the top' and has once slid into a water cooler. He said that a vote for this motion may be a vote for him injuring himself on the equipment.

Simon Taylor (ST) asked how big the equipment would be. He said that there was no room at the back or the side. AA said that they plan to have two rowing machines, a treadmill and a cross trainer. He said that one rowing machine would be paid for by the boat club. He said that these machines could be put on the wall and would stick out about 18 inches and that good treadmills which fold up are available, with these folding up to 18 inches. He hopes to relocate the chairs.

TO suggested that societies might want to chat about where things would be put. Alex White (AW) described how the equipment might reduce space for leg kicks. He said that this could be a massive hazard. This could halve the amount of space for sparring. He asked if AA would be prepared to amend the motion to confer with all small societies. DSH clarified that these would be table tennis, kung fu, karate, tai chi and maybe the Chinese orchestra.

RM asked if the small societies had considered other rooms, such as the party room. JS said that sessions would conflict with the use of the room for aerobics, and when training hard it would not be a nice place to be. CP suggested it might be possible to talk to Clare college.

RB asked AA and DSH if they would accept this amendment. AA and DSH said yes.

RB invited the meeting to vote on motion e).

For: 23

Against: 6

Abstain: 12

Motion e) duly passed

MW asked what the requirements were for this to pass. RB said a simple majority.

f) Constitutional Reform

RCSA Notes:

1. The work of the previous committee in producing a proposal for constitutional reform.
2. That these proposals have not yet had a chance to be put to an Open Meeting.

3. In the past a Constitution Sub-Committee has effected changes to the constitution but the process by which constitutional amendments are brought about is not codified.

RCSA Believes:

1. That the constitutional reform proposals produced by the previous RCSA committee contain changes that may well be of great benefit to future RCSA members and officers.
2. That every RCSA member ought to be given fair opportunity to contribute their thoughts and opinions to these (and possibly other) changes before they are put to a referendum.
3. That this process ought to be set out in RCSA policy for future constitutional amendments.

RCSA Resolves:

1. To create a Constitution Sub-Committee that shall:
 - i. have the RCSA Chair as its chairperson;
 - ii. exist until (whichever is sooner):
 - a) the Sub-Committee is satisfied that the proposals are ready to be considered by the Executive, or
 - b) the last Ordinary Open Meeting of lent term;
 - iii. review, discuss and, if necessary, amend current proposals for constitutional reform;
 - iv. be subject to the same timing and situation constraints as Open Meetings; and
 - v. be open and advertised to all Members.
2. To mandate the RCSA President to bring the proposals of the sub-committee for consideration at an Open Meeting once they are complete and before they are officially considered by the Executive.
3. To mandate the Executive to consider the finished proposals from the Constitution Sub-Committee once they have been passed by an Open Meeting and decide whether or not to put these to a referendum.
4. That future constitutional amendments be proposed by an Open Meeting and reviewed by the Open Meeting or a sub-committee open to all RCSA members before being considered by the Executive for a referendum.

Proposed: Rahul "One-man-army" Mansigani

Seconded: Duncan "Unhyphenated" Stibbard Hawkes

RM said that they hoped to take the proposals ahead, creating a sub-committee. This could amend reforms and would be open to all members. They would aim for this to be brought to the second meeting of lent term, where the reform would be approved and then taking to a referendum and then College council. He said that the plan was to go along the lines proposed already.

RB invited the meeting to vote on motion f).

For: 41

Against: 0

Abstain: 0

Motion f) duly passed

g) Underwriting the May Ball

RCSA notes:

1. The May Balls in 2008 and 2009 were huge successes and were only possible due to the help of the RCSA.
2. College Council will only grant permission for a May Ball in 2010 if the RCSA once again accepts liability for any losses incurred by the proposed May Ball.
3. The May Ball is not asking for any money from the RCSA.
4. The May Ball is proposing the same contract with the RCSA as last year.

The proposed contract is in the addendum.

RCSA believes

1. That the May Ball was a great success last year and it would be a great disappointment to all members of the college if it were not allowed to happen again this year.
2. It is unacceptable for individual members of the May Ball committee to be liable for any losses incurred by the May Ball.
3. The attached contract is an adequate solution for both the RCSA and the 2010 May Ball committee.

RCSA resolves:

1. To enter into an agreement by deciding to sign the attached contract between the RCSA and the May Ball Committee 2010 at the Open Meeting on 28/11/2009.

Proposed: Jessica Rose "Basil" Brush

Seconded: Sam "Foxy" Oxley

Jess Rose Brush (JRB) said that a long time ago, the may ball had lost money. The RCSA had been required to bail them out. This hasn't happened for the last three years, but it is a requirement that the RCSA underwrite it. This is to ensure that no members of the may ball committee are liable. Without the underwriting, there will be no ball.

RB invited the meeting to vote on motion g).

For: 39

Against: 0

Abstain: 2

Motion g) duly passed

h) Matriculation Photograph

THIS MOTION REQUIRES A TWO THIRDS ASSENT TO PASS

RCSA Notes:

1. There has been a policy for the last five years to buy Matriculation photos, however this has never happened so far
2. The Fresher Matriculation Photo has just been brought out
3. It is still available to order from the shop
4. The JCR is being renovated so we could integrate the hanging of Matriculation photos at the same time
5. The Pegasus society would like to help out with "interesting schemes" in college

RCSA Believes:

1. We ought to have photos of each year matriculating in the JCR
2. Eventually all years having matriculated since 2005 should be represented, if possible, however it is important to get the ball rolling to start with

RCSA Resolves:

1. To allocate £45 from the Open Meeting Budget to buy a Matriculation photo for the current freshers to hang in the JCR and to mandate the Catering and Amenities Officer to organise the purchase of the photo
2. To investigate which other matriculation photos are available, and find out how much it would cost to buy these.
3. To mandate the RCSA President to contact the Pegasus society to ask whether they would be interested in supporting the purchase of Matriculation photos.

Proposed: Andy "cheeeese" Aitken

Seconded: Duncan "Snap" Stibbard Hawkes

AA explained that there had been a policy for the last 5 years for matriculation photos to be put somewhere in college. The freshers photo is just out. He said that buying this would 'get the ball rolling'. He said that the Pegasus society is interested in helping with interesting schemes in college. DSH added that if it was passed now, then they might be able to help with future ones.

DB spoke against the motion, saying that this was passed every year and nothing was ever done. CP offered a point of information, that last year it was agreed that this would be done when the JCR was renovated.

JRB said that this required a third of the budget. She asked if the RCSA will go on and pay for this if the Pegasus society won't pay. She suggested the money could be taken from the JCR renovation fund. DSH pointed out that this fund was for one renovation. JRB said that she wanted to make sure it didn't get in the way of future open meetings. DSH said it might appear in the first one of the year, not every year.

DG asked if the photograph would still cost £45 or if they increase the price with time. AA said that he did not know, but was confident. Tom Grimble (TG) said that he did not think that they put the prices up. NB asked BM if there was money allocated in the budget for JCR photos. BM said no. RB said that last year they were going to. BM said that this money had been used for other things, such as snacks for open meetings.

TG asked if these were already displayed anywhere. DSH said they were in the plodge. Luke Whiting (LKW) added that they were in various offices. DSH expressed that he thought it would be nice to have them in the JCR as they are more accessible. MW said that if he wanted to see his face in a years time then he wanted it to be easily available.

RB invited the meeting to vote on motion h).

For: 36

Against: 1

Abstain: 4

Motion h) duly passed

i) May Ball Committee Photograph

THIS MOTION REQUIRES A TWO THIRDS ASSENT TO PASS

RCSA notes:

1. Some college societies have their photograph in the bar.
2. The May Ball is an important college society, full of aesthetically pleasing individuals.
3. The May Ball committee had their photo taken last year by Eaden Lilly (La Fayette).
4. Photographs only cost about £41, including frames!
5. Everyone loves the May Ball.

RCSA believes:

1. That a photograph of aesthetically pleasing individuals who contribute significantly to college life would enhance the ambience of our bar.
2. Many colleges have more photographs in their bar than us, and Robinson should strive to beat other colleges in all bar-related activities.

RCSA resolves:

1. To designate £41 from this Open Meeting towards the purchase of a framed photograph of the 2009 May Ball Committee, to be hung in the bar.

Proposed: Sam "I want you cause I'm Mr Vain" Oxley

Seconded: Jessica "you probably think this motion is about you" Rose Brush

JRB said that in the past there had been attempts to try and have societies' photos in the bar, but this had dwindled out. She pointed out that there were pictures of the rugby club and the choir. The may ball committee photo would get the ball rolling. She said that other colleges do it and that it would be a nice thing. It was a nice photo last year and it would be nice to see it in the bar. She would like to see more pictures in the bar in general.

DG stated that there would be a need to reassess the other two motions if this motion were to be accepted. He suggested it could be accepted at the next meeting. DSH mentioned the possibility of a procedural motion. DG suggested this.

Hannis Whittam (HW) asked how sustainable this was. He said that the rugby club had put it in their budget for this to be done. He asked if this meant that all societies who didn't will ask for money. BM said it could have been made part of the budget like the rugby club did. He suggested that this should be done next year. He suggested that this be claimed at the next open meeting as part of the budget, and therefore submitted five days before. MW asked if would be a reasonable use of reserves to have photos of key societies so these photos can be bought. JRB thought that this was a nice idea, and that this would get the ball rolling and should be put in the budget allowance in the future. She said that a few hundred from the reserves would be a good idea.

BM proposed procedural motion for the motion to be withdrawn, the reasons for which had already been explored. Later motions which include more than just the ball should be brought. JRB had no opposition to this.

RB invited an indicative vote which passes. Motion i) is withdrawn.

j) Wine Society

THIS MOTION REQUIRES A TWO THIRDS ASSENT TO PASS

RCSA Notes:

1. There is currently no wine society in Robinson College.
2. The objective of the society is the promotion of the development of the knowledge of wine and the promotion of its appreciation.
3. The society is merely intended to promote the pursuit of oenology. The society will establish a strict protocol to limit the alcohol consumption in order to prevent any unfortunate behaviour by members.
4. (If the concern of verifying the legal drinking age is raised, the society will accept only graduate students to participate the event or become members.)

RCSA Believes:

1. The society will create camaraderie among members who share the pleasure of understanding wine.

RCSA Resolves:

1. To form the Robinson College Wine Society (RCWS), which shall agree to be bound by the constitution of the RCSA.
2. The objects of the RCWS shall be:
 - a) To promote the oenology at Robinson College
 - b) To organise weekly wine tasting/class for its members
3. RCWS shall adopt the schedules as its initial constitution, and that a democratically elected and representative Committee be responsible for their due amendment

Proposed: Jin "lightly-tipped" Nam (Myung Jin Nam)

Seconded: Karol "hints of Mulberry" Dzionek

Jim Nam and Karol Dzionek were not present to speak about their motion.

JRB said that she was a member of the university wine society and didn't know how sustainable this would be on a college level. RB said that this was not a motion for. DB thinks that the cheese society is sustainable. Wine could be the next cheese. DSH said that cheese is cheaper. DB thinks it is a good idea, but might be difficult to arrange with the bar.

AA asked if amendments could be proposed? RM said no as the proposer/seconders were not present. RB said that they could be proposed.

CP stated that the constitution said that societies should be open to all members, and that this would exclude the underage. DSH suggested that people could join and not drink.

James Pacey (JP) proposed a procedural motion: that it should be brought to the next meeting when they could come and talk about it. MW opposed this as they had taken the time to propose the motion.

RB invited a vote that the motion should not be put, which passed. Motion j) was withdrawn

12. Any other RCSA business

MW asked if crisps were a bad idea due to the noise they make. LJW suggested that timing was crucial.

BM said that the budget was bang on and thus everyone was happy.