

R.C.S.A. Open Meeting Minutes

Sunday 5 pm, 11th March 2012, Umney Theatre

1. Apologies for absence or lateness

Catherine and Lotte – have choir

Alex M – has a friend visiting

Hannah, Mimi – also busy

Late – Steve and Mary

2. Announcement of the presence and purpose of guests

There were no guests.

3. Approval of the presence and speaking rights of guests

Unnecessary as there were no guests.

4. Approval of the order of the agenda

Jimmy Campbell (JC): I move to move motion b to the end

Harry Brunton (HB) calls for a vote on the change in agenda

In favour: 12

Against: 17

Agenda stays the same.

Alex Brazier (AB): I move motion c to the beginning...

HB: no

The order remained as before.

5. Reading by the secretary on request by any Member, amendment if necessary and approval of the Minutes of any previous Open Meeting not yet accepted by an Open Meeting.

There were no amendments.

6. Matters Arising from the minutes

There were no matters arising.

7. Reports from the Committee

Report sent from Catherine Mansfield (CM): *I passed on the problem with the croquet lawn to the gardens committee and got the following reply: 'The uneven state of the croquet lawn was regretted but an inevitable consequence of proximity to trees which we would not get permission to remove for croquet reasons. We could try some palliative work but this has not been attempted in the past as it is unlikely to work.' They suggested that the croquet society contact them (Dr Trudgill and Head Gardener) to meet up and discuss it further. Since then I have been told the Head Gardener has some turf and intends to re-turf the croquet corner to see if it*

works despite being shaded by trees. I've passed all this on to the Croquet society (Jack Cummings and Hannis Whittam) so now up to them to speak to gardens committee directly.

Report from Toby Hayward-Butcher (THB): In result of the open meeting motion saying we needed to get somebody in to look at the system in the bop room a visit has now taken place. I have a typed report from the guy who came to look at the system which people can see if they like. He was even more scathing about the system than we were. The components aren't designed to work together, inadequate and not fit for purpose. Also said the quote was good value for money at the current time – could raise some money towards the cost by selling some of the part of the current system that still worked but just weren't designed to work with the other parts of the system that are already there.

Peter Judge (PJ): The budget for this open meeting is £330

HB: CUSU elections have happened, Robinson had the highest turnout of 49%, congrats to Robinson. Further elections on Thursday for the Uni council and general board. Three of them are uncontested. 1 of them is contested and there will be a paper ballot in college.

8. Questions to the Committee

None

9. Extraordinary Motions

None.

10. Ordinary motions

a) Constitutional Review Report

RCSA Notes:

1. *The constitutional review sub-committee has been meeting to redraft a new form of the current RCSA Constitution as we are obliged to do by college*
2. *These meetings have been open for anybody who wishes to contribute to the new constitution to attend.*
3. *Both current and past RCSA Committee members have also been asked for feedback during this process.*
4. *A new draft of the constitution has been drawn up – see separate document.*

RCSA Believes:

1. *The constitutional review process has been performed well by those involved.*
2. *That the current constitution produced by the sub-committee is an improvement of the original and has clarified some unclear points from the original.*

RCSA Resolves:

1. *To present this new constitution for consideration by the RCSA Executive, with a view to taking the matter to a referendum.*

Proposer: Jimmy Campbell

Seconder: Ewan McGregor

Speaking FOR the motion:

Ewan McGregor (EM): We have to reform the constitution this year, a committee was formed and problematic bits were ironed out, also restructured the exec and also formatted the budget year so it works correctly.

Bryn Pickering (BP): where are the contents?

Tobias Paul (TP): under the existing constitution the contents page isn't very helpful, it has now been changed so that you can look things up by the relevant section, I have a copy if anybody wants to see it.

HB asked if there were any questions:

Rosalyn Old (RO): If it's taken to a referendum when will that be?

HB: first two weeks of next term so as to not clash with exams

Chris Halcrow (CH): who is exec?

EM: President, VP, treasurer, secretary, catering and amenities, sports and societies, academic affairs, welfare MCR

JC: is the external officer still the chair?

BP: Yes as the VP tends to be very busy so the Chair is better able to fulfil the role of external officer

RO: why was this changed when we decided it should change to VP in the original meeting?

EM: it was discussed at the next meeting and everybody agreed that this way was better

RO: need to have a vote on this as I think it is very important, I wasn't told this had changed and I had other commitments which meant I couldn't go to the meeting

HB: Let's have an indicative vote...

For moving VP to external officer

RO: from my experience VP is normal position for external, VP has more contact with students whereas chair just chairs meetings. VP does room ballot and freshers week so has more direct interaction with students.

Against moving VP to external officer

EM: the chair's role within college is to ensure everything runs smoothly, externally the role is to represent the views of Robinson students. No difference between VP and chair as to who has most interaction with students. VP has very busy periods where he won't manage to attend all the meetings of external CUSU officers. We are the only college to have a chair so a comparison with other college's methods is invalid. Chair elected before the rest of the committee as more important so is well suited to represent college externally.

Vote on who should be external officer...

For VP: 5

For Chair: 12

Arun Niranjana (AN): why has access become non-exec?

EM: committee meetings are very cumbersome, the role of exec is to pass exec resolutions and policy, some people have decisions based roles and others to fulfil specific tasks. Access is very important but doesn't have to be at exec meetings as they serve a function that doesn't affect directly on student life. Access is not decision based – more like civil servants not ministers.

AN: I made a suggestion to have it split into two roles or allow two people to run together, has there been any provision for this change?

BP: we decided that the size of the committee was too big and that access already has a sub-committee. So there is other help available it is just unelected and should stay that way.

Emma Naughton (EN): I was too busy with shadowing to come to constitutional review committee meetings.

HB invited the meeting to vote on motion a):

For: 27

Against: 1

Abstain: 11

Motion a) passes

b) Boat Club – Unclaimed budget 2008-2009

THIS MOTION REQUIRES A TWO THIRDS ASSENT TO PASS

RCSA Notes:

1. That in the budget year 08-09, £8400 was allocated in the RCSA budget for the cost of renting the LMBC boathouse.
2. That college paid the bill and were expecting to be repaid by the allocated RCSA funds.
3. RCBC did not claim this amount in the budget year.
4. The invoice in question was paid by college, as was the previous agreement with the RCSA, who were then charged 45% of the cost of the Boat House.
5. That confusion was caused by the change in payment method for the LMBC boathouse and sports grounds in 2009.

RCSA Believes:

1. That college should be repaid the amount outstanding from 2008-09 budget.

RCSA Resolves:

1. To allocate the £8,400 that incorrectly got placed in reserves to pay college for this bill.

Proposer: Tom Grimble

Seconder: Peter Judge

Speaking FOR the motion:

Tom Grimble (TG): Peter and I have been working to rectify the issue of the unpaid bill from three years ago after talking with the college office about the best way forward. We've tracked the amount of £8,400 down to unpaid boat club rent fees. This has gone unclaimed for 3 years and has been ignored and forgotten. The only solution is to take the money out of the reserves as it should have been claimed at the time and paid then rather than going into the reserves in the first place.

PJ: college didn't want us to take this to the open meeting. They just claimed that they could readdress the opening balance of the reserves without. Just moving the money to wear it should be.

TP: amend the end resolutions to allocate 8,400 from the resevres to pay the bill

HB asked if there were any questions:

NB: people had a tendency to talk over each other in this next section which made it very hard to minute so if there are any bits missing it's because they couldn't be heard from the front of the hall. If people could talk one at a time next time then that'd be fab – thanks, Alice

Mary Hughes (MH): how much would that leave in the reserves?

PJ: Assuming everything is claimed fully we'd be at about £9,000 left in the reserves but I'll need to check the exact figure.

RO: Surely this can't possibly be taken out of the reserves as it's not for the long-lasting benefit?

HB: long lasting benefit includes settling debt so this is valid

JC: We could not pass this motion and therefore if college did take the money out of the reserves we could kick off at them. Because they need at least one of the President, VP, Chair or Treasurer to give permission before money can be removed from the account.

PJ, Point of Info: It is the boat club's fault (not current committee though) as they were given the invoice by college. So if this motion doesn't pass college could either take the money out of the reserves ANYWAY, or I could write them a cheque - which would require an extraordinary budget meeting and cuts of about 50% to be made over all current budget allocations.

TP: even if this doesn't pass college could sue us if they wanted too for payment so we really have no legal choice but to pass this motion and pay them.

PJ: college has also agreed to not charge interest which means the amount is £6,000 less than it might have been.

Alex Kennedy (AK): agrees wholeheartedly with Tobias, we legally HAVE to pay this money back to college.

AN: why didn't the senior treasurer spot this?

TG: the treasurer is Dr Nolan

General laughter...

TG: The invoice fell between the cracks due to a change in system in how facilities were paid for at the time. It also got confused by the issues with the boat payment happening at the same time.

RO: did we ask college why they didn't spot this before?

PJ: Michelle claims she's bought this up with previous treasures, Michelle mentioned it my original briefing meeting as "the boat club problem" but it was never made specific to me what had happened until recently. If they'd made it clear I'd have been like f*** and done something about it sooner. They have been really lax in chasing it up but we do have to pay it at some point. We see things as a yearly basis – college is more continuous. They've said it doesn't matter if we're in debt/excess over one particular year as it will balance out in the end.

AB: question with the report – if the money wasn't claimed can we really say that we can only reasonably spend it for this purpose?

TG: it was just a mistake it wasn't claimed

AB: college is awful at charging interest – surely they have to tell about this if they were going to charge us interest?

BP: have we gained any interest on this money?

PJ: a bit but a tiny amount

BP: almost beneficial to not pay until now then?

PJ: yes except in terms of my time and stress

AN: we do need to pay this – but we also need to make sure it doesn't happen again

Alice Udale-Smith (AUS): That's the point of the next motion...

JC: point of info, college recently incurred a bill for £3k for boat club dinners
BP: that was entirely college's fault – we have email correspondence saying it's so
JC: this is still relevant to this motion though...

Some more general discussion of the points already minute above...

HB invited the meeting to vote on motion b):

For: 36

Against: 1

Abstain: 5

Motion b) passes

c) Boat Club Finances

RCSA Notes:

- 1. The RCSA has been asked to pay a bill for £8,556.90 from late 2009 for the hire of the boat house.*
- 2. Around this time, a new boat was purchased. As part of this, £9000 was allocated out of the Reserve Funds, but then the Boat Club found an account with over £7000 in it, meaning they only had to claim £2000 out of the Reserve Fund.*
- 3. Last year's Boat Club treasurer lost the accounts, and so this year's treasurer had a very difficult handover.*
- 4. a) Due to a miscommunication between the Boat Club and college, bills for boat club dinners dating back to 2010 went unpaid.
b) This issue has now been sorted.*
- 5. The college has two accounts holding money for the boat club, which no-one in the boat club knew about.*
- 6. a) College was under the impression that each year, the boat club was putting aside £2000 for a new boat.
b) This has never happened.*
- 7. All mistakes were made before the current committee was in place.*

RCSA Believes:

- 1. Rowing is an important feature of college life, enjoyed by many people.*
- 2. Rowing is a very expensive sport, and therefore has a very large budget when compared to other sports.*
- 3. It is therefore important that these finances are managed properly, in order to ensure a repeat doesn't happen.*

RCSA Resolves:

- 1. To mandate the RCSA treasurer to ensure that the Boat Club can present full accounts, including attempting to get accounts from last year's BC treasurer.*

Proposed: Peter "keeping things afloat" Judge

Seconded: Jimmy "I <3 Boaties" Campbell

Speaking FOR the motion:

PJ: notes that the boat club in the past has been horrifically run, there have been lots of mistakes made, this large bill is the culmination of these mistakes which is now going to be paid. The mistake was made because £9,000 out of the reserves was allocated for a boat and the payment method for facilities was changing. Last years boat club treasurer lost the accounts and the problems with boat club dinner have already been spoken about. College is largely at fault but the BC should have sorted it out. Went to see Michelle about the accounts and there were an extra two accounts with money in. Michelle thought the BC was putting aside 2,000 a year to purchase new boats, this has never happened. BC said they discontinued this in 2007. Issue going forward is how the BC is going to fund a new boat in the future. Tom said him and Bill are looking into that issue. Finally the current committee is not at fault. But I wanted to put everything out in the open so everyone could see what happened and we can improve on it in the future. They have a big membership and big budget so it's important.

Speaking AGAINST the motion:

JG: on point two – essentially we would like to point out that it wasn't the BC that found this bill, it shouldn't have been left to this state by college. We were completely above aboard on this, we were completely clear with the RCSA that this hadn't been paid and we didn't know why. The treasurer didn't lose the accounts – Andy's two boxes of his files were thrown away by his bedder, the accounts from last year were also on a spread sheet so it was backed up and we do have full accounts for last year. Point 4 is irrelevant as college was at fault – I know for a fact that Jack Herlihy did try and resolve this many times with college last year. Points 5 and 6 are valid but Grimble is in discussion with college to rectify the situation.

Joe Griffiths (JG): Can we amend notes 3 to say that it wasn't Andy's fault. (PJ accepts)

Notes 3 now reads: *Last year's Boat Club treasurer lost the accounts – through no fault of his own as they were mistakenly thrown away from his room by the housekeeping staff, and so this year's treasurer had a very difficult handover.*

JG: Can we remove point 4?

PJ: doesn't accept this as friendly

JG: will settle for an amendment saying it is ENTIRELY college's fault

PJ: doesn't accept the amendment as friendly.

HB calls for a vote on adding an amendment to 4, called 4C, to say college is entirely responsible:

Favour: 18

Against: 16

Jimmy WALKS OUT - without sending his apologies.

Notes 4C now reads: *This mix up was entirely the fault of college.*

RO speaking in FAVOUR of this motion:

Every year the boat club says we really need lots of money at the BC meeting – I accept that they should have this money but it is a privilege. This has to be a long-term structure for what the treasurer needs to do and check etc. This is indicative of a long-standing attitude in the boat club which must be set right in the future.

EM speaking AGAINST the motion:

This is not just an attitude of the BC – it is unfortunate that we have a larger budget so that when mistakes do happen it can be a lot worse than with other societies. The boat buying agreement was happening when we were in secondary school. In my time here we've taken a LOT of care in what we are spending money on. As far as finding accounts went the RCSA only just found their charitable account in the last few years. As far as bills go people are happy to be charged for stuff when the individual invoices do come through to us.

HB asked if there were any questions:

Somebody: Can we get copies of the accounts back?

JG: what was put in the bin by the bedder was everything from before two years ago – as anything before then was in the boxes it is hard to know what was done.

TG: I will request accounts from the bank account and they will be able to provide statements of what was paid and when which will help a lot.

Maria Farrimond (MF): suggest an amendment to mandate the BC too do the handover to the treasurer in Easter Term when people are actually still in college...

HB: can't mandate a society to do something

JG: We are going to do that anyway – two years ago was a dreadful handover this year was better but we can still do more.

MH: is this going to be a one off or is this going to be renewable?

PJ: at the moment this is one-off.

BP: add an extra resolves that the BC will keep a copy of their records in the RCSA office so it is always available to check and to prevent another copy being lost.

PJ: accepts.

Resolves 2 now reads: *The boat club shall keep a copy of their accounts in the RCSA office so that they are easily accessible to the RCSA treasurer.*

AK: as part of this Peter should sit down with college and assess how college deals with this as it is a large amount of money.

AMMENDMENT to resolves 1 to include this is accepted by PJ

Resolves 1 now includes at the end... *and will liaise with relevant college authorities to rectify the confusion on their part.*

AN: what happens if we can't get proper accounts?

TG: I have full accounts.

AN: can we recommend they get less money next meeting as a result?

AB: is this motion going to do the job? How are we still going to prevent errors? Is Peter just going to look at the accounts for them?

PJ: resolves part is the difficult as not sure what the treasurer can do to prevent this in the future...

Nick Williams (NW): Am I correct that the BC has to present summary accounts prior to the budget meeting?

PJ: yes.

EM: fundamental problem with this motion is that it assumes the boat club is incompetent and the treasurer is competent – how do we know that the treasurers won't be incompetent in the future – so applying some sort of overreaching control by another body may not work just like Germany after WW2. Have to have faith in the BC to have ability to elect competent officers just like we have faith in the RCSA officer's competency.

JC: for a start the point is the RCSA has a standard for removing incompetent officers...

RO: point needs to be identified that big money means they have big responsibility – this isn't having a go at the boat club this is just making sure they appreciate that having so much money is a privilege not a right.

TG: so far I have been doing just as much as Peter to rectify the situation, the problem is that the college office goes to Peter rather than me, I've made everything available that we've been asked for.

EM: this is just offensive to the BC

TP: procedural motion to put this to a vote NOW

Against the Procedural Motion

JC: we need to address this properly – people are just throwing insults

For the Procedural Motion

TP: what this motion is trying to do is allow Peter to liaise with Tom to ensure proper accounts – if we keep it away from casting blame; this motion is only to clear up record keeping practices which is a good thing.

Vote to Vote

In favour: 32

Against: 3

Procedural motion passes.

HB invited the meeting to vote on motion c):

For: 27

Against: 4

Abstain: 6

Motion c) passes

d) New 3.3k Projector

THIS MOTION REQUIRES A TWO THIRDS ASSENT TO PASS

RCSA Notes:

- 1. The Auditorium is the largest, most technologically advanced conference room in Robinson College*
- 2. Its full potential is presently not exploited fully by the RCSA*
- 3. The RCSA is only allowed to use the Conference projector in exceptional situations*
- 4. The Auditorium used to contain a long-range projector used mainly by FilmSoc. This projector exploded about a year ago after 10 years of consistent use by students*

RCSA Believes:

- 1. The modern day society is facing the problem of an ever-decreasing attention span. A bright projection would better capture the attention of any audience.*
- 2. A projector available for use to the RCSA in the Auditorium would benefit the college in a number of ways:*
 - a. Improve the quality of official RCSA events by enabling the use of a top-of-the-range projector in the biggest room in College*
 - b. Enable College Sports, Societies and Individuals to use the projector in a creative way for an unprecedented range of events*

- c. *Avoid extensive negotiations with the Conference Office which are currently present when requesting access to their projectors in the Umney/Auditorium*
- d. *Help the next Pantomime Director project a better quality Eye of Sauron*
3. *The proposed projector is a mobile one. The RCSA could therefore use the projector in rooms other than the Auditorium if needed*

RCSA Resolves:

1. *To allocate £1915.44 from the reserves for the purchase of a projector [see report]*
2. *To allocate £928.8 from the reserves for the purchase of a lens to enable viewing in the Auditorium*
3. *To allocate the amount of £562.04 from the reserves to purchase an optional 5 year hardware and lamp warranty [an alternative option is a spare lamp for the same price]*
4. *To mandate the Film Society as its potentially most regular user to gain guardianship over the projector*
5. *To nominate the current Film Society presidents to be fully inducted in the use and operation of this projector.*
6. *To nominate an additional member from the RCSA to be fully inducted in the use and operation of this projector.*

Proposer: Krista 'Helena' Mumdzjana

Secunder: Steven Gordon

Speaking FOR the motion:

Krista Mumdzjana (KM): been trying to consult college officials as to the problem – the first solution was to ask to college to pay for it but then they would have all control over it. Why we need one: the Film Soc used to have its own projector which was only used weekly – I'm suggesting that the RCSA have a projector which they let the Film Soc and anyone else who needs it to use it. Projector owned in Umney at the moment is a college owned one as is the one in the auditorium which we are not allowed to use as its too expensive.

AK: Point of info, not only Film Soc, weren't able to use one in the pantomime either when it was needed.

JC: procedural motion that this motion is adjourned to the next open meeting, we've just taken £8k out so this is now a quarter of the current amount, also I've just found out that the college takes 3k out of the reserves each year

AK: this is exactly what the reserves are for...

In favour: 8

Against: 10

Speaking AGAINST the motion:

RO: now been put in a really awkward situation with the reserves, this is something to do after this budget year, still feel college should be paying for some of this themselves, we are at a level where we are potentially in debt.

MH: Point of info, at the last catering committee meeting which Bryn and Harry were at too. Nick Milne said if we went to college and said we would pay for it we might be able to negotiate college to pay for some of it.

AK: proposes an amendment – mandate the catering and committee officers to negotiate with college with a view to getting some of the money put forward by college

JC: Point of info, Clare College is being paid £3,000 from the reserves for the use of the squash courts every year which I've only just found out about.

PJ: agrees with Jimmy that we need to look at whether we can safely assign this money first as college may have other unknown things they are trying to take out of the accounts. So is there an immediate need for this projector?

KM: no immediate need for the projector BUT is an immediate need for FilmSoc as they are dwindling numbers

BP: Point of Info, this is also an issue for Brickhouse as they have had dwindling use of the auditorium and no first years have joined the society at all...

Heather Holland (HH): will the May Ball be able to use it?

KM: yes

RO: can't we go to college and ask for permission to use the ones we have already?

HM: Nick has said no as the projector we've asked repeatedly and the one they want have is worth over £15,000.

MCR: future of Brickhouse is the reason we are allowed access to the auditorium so if it folds we will be charged conference rates for the auditorium and may not be able to use it in the future which will cause a problem as we will have nowhere to use this projector anyway...

MF: Brickhouse provides fire-training for auditorium so needs to carry on doing that.

BP: the Warden said it was a real shame that Brickhouse folds.

BP: If we don't resurrect Brickhouse then College will take over and then we may have to pay conference rates to use the auditorium.

JC: I propose a procedural motion that this is referred to the committee (President and Catering) to negotiate with college about...

In Favour: 24

Abstain: 2

Against: 0

Procedural motion passes.

e) Underwriting the Yearbook

RCSA Notes:

- 1. The third year yearbook is currently being compiled.*
- 2. The RCSA provides a £170 subsidy to the yearbook.*
- 3. Based on a projection of selling 100 copies of 80-page books, the yearbooks work out at £16.51 per book. Subtracting the subsidy, this works out at £14.81 per person.*
- 4. The fewer yearbooks we order, the more the price increases.*
- 5. Students are being charged £15 each for the yearbooks, to aim to cover any potential shortfall.*
- 6. In the highly unlikely event that fewer yearbooks are ordered, the price per book will increase to over £15.*
- 7. There are around 130 undergraduates eligible to be in the yearbook (including students who have left or degraded). Over 115 people have filled in yearbook profiles, some students have ordered two*

yearbooks, and other people (such as Gary the porter and the RCSA) also order yearbooks, so we expect a high amount of orders.

RCSA Believes:

- 1. Students should be able to purchase their yearbooks for as cheap as possible.*
- 2. Yearbooks serve as a great memory of three years at Robinson College.*
- 3. Owen and Chris have done all they can to keep costs as low as possible.*
- 4. Whilst first and second years might not consider this a benefit now, when they leave they will also want to buy yearbooks as cheap as possible.*

RCSA Resolves:

- 1. To underwrite the yearbook budget, so that in the highly unlikely event that the price per book increases to over £15, the RCSA makes up the deficit.*

Proposed: Owen "sends annoying yearbook emails" Jones.

Seconded: Chris Halcrow.

Speaking FOR the motion:

CH: everything is going very well – charging everyone as little as possible. In the unlikely event that we make a loss this just means that me and Owen aren't personally responsible for any deficit.

Friendly amendment to take this money out of the open meeting budget.

Also friendly amendment to make the max the RCSA has to pay £100 as even at the moment we only make a £50 loss if we don't sell any more books than those which people have already ordered.

JG: If there is any profit made what happens to it?

PJ: It just won't be allocated from the RCSA or will go to charity...

RO: thinks it should go back to the RCSA as we aren't allowed to give money to charity as we are a charity...

Friendly amendment accepted to make any profit goes back to the RCSA.

HB invited the meeting to vote on motion e):

For: 25

Against: 0

Abstain: 0

Motion e) passes

f) Sky problems in the TV Room

RCSA Notes:

- 1. We have just spent a lot of money improving the TV room.*
- 2. One of the key uses of the TV room is to watch sport.*
- 3. However, the SkySports1 channel is very unreliable, and often freezes, thus meaning that it is often difficult to fully appreciate the sport.*

RCSA Believes:

1. *Given we have just spent a lot of money on the TV room to improve the viewing experience; we should also ensure that the sky works properly.*

RCSA Resolves:

1. *To mandate the Catering and Amenities Officer to look into how we can sort this issue out.*

Proposed: Peter Judge

Seconded: Jimmy 'it pecks head' Campbell

Speaking FOR the motion:

JC: there is a slight problem with the Sky (in particular sky sports 1) which just needs sorting out, similarly Nick Milne is fixing the same problem in the bar. This just mandates the catering officer to get Sky in and fix the box. Service needs improving.

RO: calls for a Procedural motion that it not be put as it is not worthy of a motion – doesn't need to be policy...

Vote

Favour of it not being put: 2

Against: 13

The procedural motion falls.

MH: Point of info, could you not have SPOKEN to me beforehand I am on the committee and if you'd mentioned it before I could have looked into fixing it already.

Friendly amendment: to mandate the President to deal with this.

BP: looking into getting free Sky as a charity...

PJ: makes sense to do it at the same time as the budget meeting.

MH: when we were putting in the new TV we did notice the box was a bit old and tatty

HB invited the meeting to vote on motion f):

For: 21

Against: 0

Abstain: 2

Motion f) passes

11. Any other RCSA business

NA

Time of end of meeting: 7 pm